

General

strike

ADD

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

planning
commission
members
town
committees

PC members

Pg 1

plan
organization

elements

Appendix

tmeline

include
include

events

Session 1

include

not legit subject for
land use document

strike

Pg 3

Session 2

strike

Pg 4

Governance and
Participation

strike

strike

Session 3

NOTE:

NOTE:

NOTE:

Pg 5

NOTE:

Pg 7

Big issue here!!

NOTE:

Pg 8

vision

NOTE:

strike

Pg 9

Big issue here!!

inadequate
consideration.

strike Adjectives

Clear statement on moratorium, lack of effort, possible solutions, see 1996 plan.

remove Bachran, Knutson, Klisset

remove all

strike The process of creating a plan is just as important as the outcome.

The policies are hopelessly vague and self contradictory.

FLUP is short sided, lacks specifics, includes land use categories Paonia does not have without explanation.

Appendix should not be part of the plan

plan sent back for redo by Wynn w/o consulting PC after meetings were scheduled for adoption.

revised plan presented July 18, 2024

previous version included breakdown of in town/out of town at community engagement events. Include numbers here

- providing transparency and improved communication between the Town and community.

- Create design standards and preserve historic character
See Scott's notes, pg 72, only got 3 dots

With community and partner organizations, prioritize and implement recommendations from the Parks, Recreation & Trails Master Plan

not legit subject for Land use plan

Develop commercial property in the Downtown Core instead of along Highway 133

Sheet1

ie: do not develop gateways!

“urban ag areas” no such zone, prime housing space in town core, 1 acre estates not comparable with urban ag.

Integrate cottage industries into more business-heavy areas of Town

include live/work concept in industrial zone

Acknowledge and take wildlife corridors into consideration with all zoning and development

How does this work, designated area splits parcels, zoning lines are to go by parcel, road, etc.

include PMC 2-6-10 through 50

2014 Heart and soul project not legit basis for 2024 com plan! Town doesn't even seem to have a copy! Documents sent from town do not contain any product from heart and soul project, are strictly intro documents and rfp type documents.

No mention of tourism This is significant. Should be noted in rest of plan

A 2014 community-led initiative called “The North Fork Heart & Soul Project” succeeded in capturing many of the shared values of Paonia’s diverse residents; those values have informed this Plan

Who's idea is this? Is fundamental flaw.

Growth is further constrained by a moratorium on new water taps that went into effect in 2020.

Make clear statement, growth envisioned by plan is impossible until moratorium lifted. Reason of moratorium is all existing studies conclude water system is at capacity or over sold in terms of firm yield from last century. Current climate is drying. Infrastructure improvements or strategies not yet contemplated will be necessary to provide water for growth.

Housing price increases have outpaced rise in wages by a ratio of 5.5 to 1, displacing residents and creating barriers for businesses looking to hire seasonal and full-time wage workers.

State: Is national/global problem. Local policies not always effective.

abundant educational opportunities

Paonia has lost significant educational resources, closing of schools,